Pyelocolonic Fistula in a Patient with a Large Staghorn Calculus and Emphysematous Pyelonephritis
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Background
Fistulas can exist between the genitourinary (GU) and the gastrointestinal (GI) tracts. These fistulas can affect different parts of the upper and lower GI and GU tracts. Pyelocolonic fistulas form between the renal pelvis and the colon and may be iatrogenic due to staghorn calculi or infection. In some rare instances, such as in this case, pyelocolonic fistulas occur due to a combination of more than one factor.

Summary
We report the case of a 62-year-old patient who developed sepsis and a retroperitoneal abscess from emphysematous pyelonephritis of the left kidney, secondary to a large staghorn calculus. A pyelocolonic fistula also developed and was evident on cross-sectional imaging. The patient required antibiotics, several percutaneous drainage procedures, and eventually, a nephrectomy and primary repair of the colonic fistula.

Conclusion
Pyelocolonic fistulas should be suspected in patients with staghorn calculi and difficult-to-treat or complicated upper genitourinary tract infections. The combination of urinary obstruction and fecal contamination creates a unique environment that could lead to polymicrobial, recalcitrant infections of the upper GU tract. Management requires source control by removal of infected unsalvageable tissue, relief of the urinary obstruction, and application of the appropriate antimicrobial therapy.
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Case Description

A 62-year-old female who smokes tobacco, with a history of asthma and pyelonephritis, failed outpatient empiric antibiotic treatment and was admitted for sepsis secondary to pyelonephritis with staghorn calculi, severe left hydronephrosis, and a left-sided retroperitoneal abscess (Figure 1).

On hospital day 9, the patient’s nephrostomy tube was accidentally dislodged. The patient underwent a repeat CT scan for its replacement, as her sepsis was still resolving, and it was deemed to still be necessary. This study showed a pyocolonic fistula, a persistent, large, left staghorn renal calculus, and an apparent fungal ball in the left kidney (Figure 2). Cultures from her urine grew *Candida albicans* and *Escherichia coli*, which were treated with meropenem and fluconazole.

The patient’s white blood cell (WBC) count was 16,000/uL; she was admitted to the intensive care unit and started on cefepime, followed by the percutaneous insertion of a left nephrostomy tube for decompression of her hydronephrosis on hospital day 2, which drained 3L of purulent fluid. On the same day (HD2), she underwent percutaneous drainage of her left-sided retroperitoneal abscess, yielding 300mL of purulent fluid. Fluid cultures from the abscess drainage revealed *Streptococcus anginosus* and *Proteus mirabilis*. Blood cultures were negative, and multiple tests for COVID-19 were negative. The patient continued to recover slowly.

The patient’s left nephrostomy output decreased over time, and a renal scan was performed to evaluate for renal function, which revealed the same findings as prior imaging (Figure 3). On hospital day 24, the decision was made to take the patient to the operating room. She underwent a total left nephrectomy, fistula takedown, descending colon debridement, colotomy closure, and debridement of the subcutaneous wound. The colon was left alone until the nephrectomy was completed. At this time, the colon was exteriorized through the extraction incision in the left lower abdomen. The colon around the fistula was sharply debrided with a scalpel, and the colotomy was closed in a...
vertical fashion with a running 2-0 Vicryl to prevent any stricturing. The primary repair was then imbricated with 3-0 silk sutures. In the left flank, a large pocket of infected necrotic tissue was debrided, removed, and sent for culture. The wound was irrigated, and a size 10 Jackson-Pratt (JP) drain was left to drain the space. The patient tolerated the procedure well and was discharged to subacute rehab on postoperative day 6 (hospital day 30). Her drains had been removed prior to discharge, and she was placed on oral antibiotics. She was doing well on follow-up and regaining her strength at the rehabilitation facility.

Discussion

Fistulas between any part of the ureter and the GI tract are uncommon. Our review of the literature revealed less than 20 cases overall spanning the last six decades and less than five cases of renocolonic fistulas associated with renal calculi. Past publications have described the occurrence of uretero-colonic fistulas in patients with inflammatory conditions such as diverticulitis, and neoplastic lesions originating from the colon and involving the ureters. In our case, the presence of obstructing renal calculi. Our patient presented with complicated obstructive uropathy, left emphysematous pyelonephritis resistant to antibiotic therapy, and ultimately requiring operative intervention. Figure 1B demonstrates the large left staghorn calculus that caused proximal left hydronephrosis, likely leading to subsequent calyceal rupture and erosion of the descending colon wall. From this event, a mature fistula developed. It appears as though a complex interplay of weak, infected, inflamed tissue and mechanical pressure from the calculus were implicated in the pathogenesis of the pyelocolonic fistula in our case.

Several authors have described cases of renocolic fistulas following percutaneous procedures such as radiofrequency ablations (RFA). Given all possible etiologies of renocolic fistulas, an iatrogenic cause (i.e., percutaneous retroperitoneal abscess drainage) was considered less likely in our
case (given the radiographic findings), which likely developed from the large, obstructing calculus described above. Pathologically, inflamed renal and ureteral tissue, stretched by a large staghorn calculus, leads to emphysematous blebs within the collecting system proximal to the point of urinary obstruction. A spontaneous rupture into adjacent inflamed colonic tissue is possible in these situations. The examination of the surgical specimen showed that the area surrounding the calculus was necrotic. The section of the colon resected also showed hemorrhagic changes around a recognizable defect, through which stool was observed. Operative management was necessary considering the repeated failure of conservative and percutaneous management modalities. Our case is unique because it involved the proximal ureter, developed due to a complex interplay of anatomic and pathologic factors. Ultimately, this case required operative intervention and a primary repair of the colonic defect, which seemed adequate.

**Conclusion**

Fistulas between the GU and GI tracts involving the upper GU tract are uncommon. Even more unique are fistulas without a history of inflammatory conditions affecting the GI tract, e.g., Crohn’s disease or diverticulitis. In the presence of obstructive urinary calculi and upper GU tract infections resistant to treatment and percutaneous drainage, a renocolic or pyelocolic fistula should be investigated and ruled out.

**Lessons Learned**

In many cases, as in ours, a safe and expeditious option for management involves debridement, with or without nephrectomy and/or fistula takedown and colonic resection.
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