Incarcerated Ureter Through an Inguinal Hernia with Secondary Urinary Obstruction
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Background
Incarcerated inguinal hernias are common; however, ureter-containing inguinal hernias are rare and likely represent an incidental finding during hernia repair. This is a case report of an incarcerated inguinal hernia involving an obstructed, right sided ureter and the description of its repair.

Summary
A 67-year-old male presented to the emergency department (ED) with a two-day history of abdominal pain and acute renal failure. Physical exam showed an incarcerated non-reducible inguinal hernia. Computed tomography (CT) revealed bilateral inguinal hernias with an obstructed incarcerated right ureter. A percutaneous nephrostomy was placed and a tension-free inguinal hernia repair was performed subsequently. Patient recovered successfully.

Conclusion
Involvement of the ureter in an inguinal hernia is uncommon given its retroperitoneal position with very few reported cases in the literature. We recommend an open surgical approach with or without preoperative ureteral stent placement, to readily identify the structure and protect its viability during dissection.
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Introduction

Incarcerated inguinal hernias are a commonly encountered surgical problem. They represent about 5 to 15 percent of all hernias requiring surgery. Early assessment and prompt reduction is paramount to the viability of the herniated contents. The hernia typically contains intraperitoneal and/or extraperitoneal structures; discernible on computed tomography. More unusual is the presence of the ureter in the hernia sac. This finding is rare and likely represents an incidental finding during hernia repair. We present the case of a 67-year-old male admitted with acute onset abdominal pain secondary to an incarcerated inguinal hernia involving an obstructed, right sided ureter.

Case Description

A 67-year-old male with history of hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, osteoarthritis, and chronic non-obstructing bilateral nephrolithiasis presented to the emergency department (ED) with a two day history of diffuse abdominal pain. He had associated polydipsia, polyuria and generalized fatigue. The patient reported the presence of chronic inguinal hernias bilaterally, which were asymptomatic and reducible for the last twenty years. During his ED evaluation he denied fever, chills, or changes in bowel habits. He did report hematuria and right sided flank pain with exertion, which he attributed to his known nephrolithiasis. The patient was afebrile and vital signs were within normal limits. Physical examination was remarkable for significant right scrotal swelling consisting of an incarcerated inguinal hernia. In addition, a reducible left inguinal hernia was present. Objective laboratory data included a complete blood cell count and liver function tests, which did not reveal any abnormalities. A renal function panel revealed an increase in the creatinine level to 1.6 mg/dL from his baseline of 0.9 mg/dL that had been constant for the past year. Given his history of nephrolithiasis with acute renal failure, the ED staff along with the medicine team decided to perform a non-contrast computed tomography (CT) to evaluate for acute urinary tract obstruction secondary to stones. The CT scan revealed the following findings: Bilateral fat-containing inguinal hernias, with the right side being larger than the left side, and interestingly, containing the right ureter, which was mildly dilated and extended into the hernia sac (Figure 1). Additionally, retroperitoneal and extraperitoneal fat extended also into the right inguinal hernia (Figure 2). The ureter distal to the sac was decompressed at the level of the internal ring, which raised the concern for incarceration of this portion of the ureter (Figure 3). Finally, the CT also revealed bilateral non-obstructing renal and bladder calculi with significant right sided hydronephrosis that was likely due to the incarcerated ureter (Figure 4).
Operative Intervention

Under general anesthesia a standard right groin incision was made above the inguinal ligament. The external oblique aponeurosis was exposed and opened. Care was taken to protect the genital branch of the genitofemoral and ilioinguinal nerves. We encountered a large direct hernia, which was reduced from the scrotum. There was a large amount of fat present, but no bowel was seen. The ureter was not visualized and no attempt was made to explore the sac, thus protecting the viability of the structure. The sac was carefully dissected intact off of the cord structures up to the level of the internal ring and all the contents were reduced into the abdomen. The cord structures, including the venous plexus and vas deferens, were adequately identified and protected. A tension-free repair with mesh was performed to reconstruct the inguinal floor. The mesh was secured to the pubic tubercle and the inguinal ligament inferiorly and laterally. Superiorly, it was affixed to the conjoint tendon. The incision was closed in layers including: the external oblique aponeurosis, Scarpa’s fascia and skin. The patient tolerated the procedure well. He recovered successfully in the standard time and fashion and was followed in clinic with both urology and surgery without any complications. Given his clinical improvement, normal laboratory values and urine output, the nephrostomy tube was removed on day 3 during the post-operative period.

Discussion

Involvement of the ureter in an inguinal hernia is uncommon given its retroperitoneal position with very few reported cases in the literature. This is particularly true in adult patients and with native kidneys. The prevalence of ureter-containing inguinal hernias is unclear but is very low. In 2012, one group quantified only 10 reports of this case. Another group analyzed 1,950 cases of operable inguinal hernias at their institution and did not identify any involvement of the ureter.

Contents present in inguinal hernias are varied, with fat and bowel being the most common. One study reported unusual herniated contents through the inguinal canal, which included: the vermiform appendix (0.51 percent), appendix with acute inflammation (0.10 percent), ovaries and fallopian tubes (2.9 percent), and the urinary bladder (0.36 percent).
In regards to the ureter, a group described two anatomical variations of ureter-containing hernias in a case series. The paraperitoneal type (our patient), which represents about 80% of cases, where the ureter slides besides the peritoneal sac and frequently contains other organs such as the colon. The extraperitoneal form, 20 percent of cases, is characterized by the lack of a peritoneal sac with the ureter present within the retroperitoneal fat.

The open surgical repair of an inguinal hernia follows a standard approach and its principles should be practiced in every case. In cases that present with unusual hernia sac contents, some precautions can be made preoperatively. One group presented a similar case to our report and described placing preoperative ureteral stents to aid with dissection and to protect the structure during hernia repair. Even though the role of preoperative ureteral stenting is not defined in this setting, surgeons might find them helpful for identification of this structure. The attempted stent placement on our patient was performed with the goal of decompression of the urinary system and to allow for resolution of the acute renal failure before the surgical consultation.

In this case we proceeded with an open approach due to surgeon’s comfort level in trying to maximize the protection of the ureter. One group recommended dissecting the ureter from the herniated contents to avoid iatrogenic injury; however, in this instance given the unsuccessful attempt of preoperative stenting, once we dissected the sac we were able to reduce the herniated contents back into the peritoneal cavity. We then proceeded with the reconstruction of the inguinal floor.

Minimally invasive techniques for inguinal hernia repair have been uniformly adopted by many practices for the repair of inguinal hernias. Such is the case of the recent report of one group, who described a successful robotic approach for the repair an extraperitoneal ureteral inguinal hernia. Although it can be accomplished successfully, surgeons must follow their level of comfort with such challenging cases.

**Conclusion**

Involvement of the ureter within an inguinal hernia is rare and can pose a surgical challenge. Based on the literature, our experience and available imaging suggesting ureteral compromise with obstruction or significant tortuosity, we recommend an open surgical approach with or without preoperative ureteral stent placement that can potentially assist in identification of the structure and protect its viability during dissection.

**Lessons Learned**

Early surgical consultation is warranted for a non-reducible hernia that can compromise viability to vital structures. CT or intravenous urologic studies are not necessary before every complex inguinal hernia operation.
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