

TQIP Conference Abstract Reviewer Work Group (Community Opportunity Board)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Date Position Begins: | July 2025 |
| Appointment Term: | 2 years (for the 2025 and 2026 TQIP Annual Conferences) |
| Membership Requirement: | Familiarity and experience with the Committee on Trauma, membership in Central or Regional COT preferred <i>Note: All volunteers for this role will be vetted by the TQIP Planning Committee</i> |
| Participation Structure: | Work Group sits within the Quality Pillar under the TQIP program area |
| Anticipated Duration: | 2 weeks per review period (the 2025 review period will be mid-July to early-August, 2025) |
| Effort Requirement: | Review and rate 25-30 abstracts Reviewers will be given access to an online platform containing the abstracts randomly assigned for review. For each abstract, reviewers must select a rating of 1 – 5. Reviewers may also leave comments to help with final abstract selection and grouping. |
| Experience/Skills Desired: | Home institution must participate in TQIP Familiarity with TQIP Benchmark Report Experience/interest in performance improvement efforts at the hospital level |

TQIP Conference Abstract Reviewer Work Group Overview

This group will be responsible for reviewing and rating abstracts submitted for the TQIP Annual Conference. All appointed individuals are expected to serve as abstract reviewers for **both** the 2025 and 2026 TQIP Annual Conference. Abstracts, along with their ratings and comments, will be reviewed by the Planning Committee and considered for inclusion in the scientific program for poster or oral presentation.

TQIP Conference Abstract Reviewer Work Group Deliverable(s)

- Completed financial disclosure form (required in order to offer educational credit at the conference)
- Ratings for each assigned abstract, according to the following scale:
 - 5 = Excellent: must be part of the program
 - 4 = Good: should be part of the program
 - 3 = Average: should be accepted if there is space
 - 2 = Fair: should be considered for the program only if absolutely necessary
 - 1 = Poor: should not be part of the program
- Indication if abstract is highly recommended for oral presentation, as appropriate
- Comments for each assigned abstract, as appropriate

