Extra-Appendiceal Goblet Cell Carcinoid of the Ascending Colon
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Background
A 75-year-old male patient presented with epigastric pain, melena, and an ascending colon mass that was determined to be an extra-appendiceal goblet cell carcinoid.

Summary
Goblet cell carcinoids (GCC) are rare neoplasms that arise almost exclusively in the appendix and account for less than 5% of the primary appendiceal tumors. Goblet cell carcinoids originating outside the appendix are even more uncommon; very few cases have been reported. Our patient had an ascending colon GCC for which he underwent a right colectomy. Of significance, he had a histologically normal appendix. Over a several year course, he developed anastomotic and abdominal wall recurrences. He was managed using a similar algorithm as for adenocarcinoma of the colon with modifications unique to neuroendocrine tumors.

Conclusion
Extra-appendiceal GCC are rare and have no well-established management protocols. Histologic examination of the appendix is necessary to classify the tumor as a primary extra-appendiceal tumor. No guidelines exist for the management of colonic GCC.
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Case Description

Goblet cell carcinoid (GCC) is an uncommon tumor found almost exclusively in the appendix.\textsuperscript{1,2} Even more rare are extra-appendiceal GCCs.\textsuperscript{3} Most reports of extra-appendiceal GCC have failed to conclusively exclude the appendix as a source of the tumor.\textsuperscript{3} We present a case of colonic GCC with a confirmed histologically normal appendix.

The patient is a 75-year-old man who presented epigastric pain, diarrhea, and passage of melanotic stool for two weeks. He reported no weight loss and had no prior history of cancers nor a family history of cancer. In view of his symptoms, he underwent an esophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy that revealed gastritis and a large ulcerated mass in the ascending colon respectively. Biopsies of the colonic mass were reported as goblet cell carcinoid. Staging CT scans of the chest, abdomen and pelvis revealed the colon mass, but were negative for metastasis. Initial carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) was 15 ng/mL and chromogranin A was 1335 ng/mL. He underwent a laparoscopic right hemicolectomy.

Pathologic examination revealed a 5 cm ulcerating mass extending into the subserosa of the ascending colon. Microscopically, the tumor demonstrated goblet cells arranged in an organoid fashion (Figure 1) with a mitotic index of 5/mm\textsuperscript{3} and a Ki-67 labeling index of 60%. It was assigned a Tang B classification.

Fourteen lymph nodes were harvested, and all were negative for metastasis (Stage T4N0M0). The tumor was positive for neuron specific enolase (NSE) (Figure 2) and synaptophysin (Figure 3), but chromogranin A and CD56 negative. Histological examination of the appendix was normal (Figure 4).
Nine months after initial presentation and treatment, the patient developed pain and a palpable mass in the left lower quadrant. A CT scan of the chest, abdomen and pelvis demonstrated a 2.5 x 2 cm mass in the anterior abdominal wall and biopsy suggested recurrent GCC. An octreotide scan failed to demonstrate uptake in the abdominal wall or other sites. The patient underwent excision of the mass that involved the rectus muscle and posterior rectus sheath. Pathology confirmed recurrent GCC. The patient declined systemic treatment at that time.

Two years after the initial presentation, he developed right lower quadrant pain with no other symptoms. CT scan of the abdomen demonstrated focal intestinal wall thickening and colonoscopy confirmed a mass at the anastomosis. Re-staging CT of the chest revealed no metastasis. He underwent resection of the involved colon and small bowel, again an R0 excision. Eighteen lymph nodes were negative for malignancy. Following surgical resection, he underwent adjuvant chemotherapy with folinic acid, fluorouracil, and oxaliplatin (FOLFOX).

A year after resection of the anastomotic recurrence, the patient developed an umbilical nodule and another mass in the right lower abdominal wall. These were histologically confirmed as GCC. Chest and abdominal CT scans showed no other metastases. He underwent palliative radiotherapy with a partial response.

A year later, he presented with an increase in the size of the abdominal wall lesions. Repeat biopsy affirmed the diagnosis of GCC. Repeat CT of the chest, abdomen and pelvis as well as whole body PET scan were negative for any other lesions. Genetic studies of the tumor revealed a BRAF V600E mutation, but no KRAS or NRAS mutations. He was started on a course of palliative chemotherapy with capecitabine and irinotecan, however, he failed to respond and had an interval increase in the size of the masses. Chemotherapy was therefore discontinued and the patient had resection of the masses with abdominal wall reconstruction with biological mesh. He is alive and disease free six years following initial presentation.

**Discussion**

Goblet cell carcinoids possess both neuroendocrine and glandular components and are thought to originate from single pluripotent stem cells. Almost exclusively found in the appendix, they are estimated to make up less than 5% of all appendiceal tumors. Their incidence has been extrapolated to between 0.01 to 0.05 per 100,000/year, and most are diagnosed in the fifth decade of life with no identified gender preferences.

Immunohistochemical markers such as NSE and chromogranin A which are unique to neuroendocrine tumors are not always positive in GCCs. Another feature that distinguishes GCC from typical carcinoids, is an increase in circulating CEA levels. Our patient exhibited elevated CEA levels at the initial diagnosis and with each recurrence.

Unlike neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), Ki-67 index is not useful for grading GCCs. Tang’s classification has been found to be prognostic for GCC arising in the appendix. This is a pathologic classification which is based upon the proportion of goblet cell in the tumor. Our patient was assigned a Class B designation (Adenocarcinoma ex GCC, Signet Ring Cell Type) which signifies tumors that have a partial or almost total loss of goblet cell clusters and possess neoplastic cells that are individually arranged and infiltrate the appendix. Based on the study by Tang et al, three-year diseases specific survival (DSS) was 85% and 36% at five years. Tissue genetic studies have yielded different results. Generally, GCC tumors lack genetic mutations that are usually seen in colorectal adenocarcinoma, and they are usually negative for KRAS, SMAD4 and BRAF mutations. In our case, however, the tumor was positive for a BRAF mutation. The presence of KRAS/NRAS/BRAF mutations affect the choice of chemotherapy because tumors with such mutations are not responsive to cetuximab and panitumumab. Figure 5 shows a comparison of the features of colorectal cancer, appendiceal goblet cell carcinoid and our patient.
Appendiceal GCCs are managed following the guidelines for appendiceal adenocarcinomas. This aggressive approach is consistent with the proposed malignant nature of these tumors. However, because of its rarity, no guidelines exist for the evaluation or therapy of extra-appendiceal GCC. Our patient was managed in a multidisciplinary manner using guidelines for colorectal cancers. To accommodate its neuroendocrine nature, certain modifications were incorporated into the evaluation algorithm including serial measurement of chromogranin A and CEA. Octreotide scans were also performed but as others have found, their utility was minimal.

While surgical resection of GCC adheres to established oncologic principles in terms of margins and harvesting lymph nodes, choice of chemotherapy is challenging. For appendiceal GCC, FOLFOX regimen has commonly been used in keeping with staging of appendiceal GCC similarly to colorectal adenocarcinoma but response is variable. The use of hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) has been considered for patients with peritoneal disease and has been suggested to increase median survival. Our patient had an umbilical metastatic nodule and metastasis to the abdominal wall musculature, and he was therefore not amenable to HIPEC therapy.

**Figure 5.** Comparison of features of colorectal cancer, appendiceal goblet cell carcinoid and our patient with primary colonic goblet cell carcinoid (CEA: carcinoembryonic antigen; NSE: neuron specific enolase).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colorectal cancer</th>
<th>Appendiceal GCC</th>
<th>Our patient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Circulating Tumor Markers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogranin A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
<td>Elevated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genetic Mutations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunohistochemical staining</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogranin A</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptophysin</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Variable (±)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

Goblet cell carcinoids are usually found in the appendix, however, extra-appendiceal primary tumors can occur. A diagnosis of primary extra-appendiceal GCC requires histologic examination of the appendix. No guidelines exist for the management of colonic GCC. However, while our patient suffered recurrences and abdominal wall metastasis, our treatment regimen may have had an effect on the growth of the tumor, as the patient remains alive and disease free at six years.

**Lessons Learned**

In the setting of extra-appendiceal GCC the appendix should be examined histologically to distinguish primary extra-appendiceal GCC (extremely rare) from metastatic appendiceal GCC.
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